

DECENT AND AFFORDABLE HOMES PDG
22 MARCH 2016

PERFORMANCE AND RISK REPORT FOR THE THIRD QUARTER OF 2015-16

Cabinet Member Cllr Ray L Stanley
Responsible Officer Head of Communities & Governance, Amy Tregellas

Reason for Report: To provide Members with an update on performance against the corporate plan and local service targets for 2015/16 as well as providing an update on the key business risks.

RECOMMENDATION(S): That the PDG reviews the Performance Indicators and Risks that are outlined in this report and feeds back any areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Relationship to Corporate Plan: Corporate Plan priorities and targets are effectively maintained through the use of appropriate performance indicators and regular monitoring.

Financial Implications: None identified

Legal Implications: None

Risk Assessment: If performance is not monitored we may fail to meet our corporate and local service plan targets or to take appropriate corrective action where necessary. If key business risks are not identified and monitored they cannot be mitigated effectively.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Appendix 1 provides Members with details of performance against the Corporate Plan and local service targets for the 2015-16 financial year.
- 1.2 Appendix 2 shows the section of the Corporate Risk Register which relates to the Housing Portfolio.
- 1.3 Both appendices are produced from SPAR, the corporate Service Performance and Risk Management system.

2.0 Performance

- 2.1 The **Ratio between planned and responsive repairs** – starts to make more sense by quarter 3 and is only just below target.
- 2.2 **Decent Council Homes** - We currently only have 14 properties that are non-decent with access, works are scheduled to ensure these are addressed before year end.
- 2.3 For **Gas safety** – there were 2 properties with expired certificates, as at the end of January there is only 1 remaining.

3.0 Risk

3.1 The Corporate risk register has been reviewed by Management Team (MT) and updated. Risk reports to committees include risks with a total score of 15 or more and all those with an impact score of 5. (See Appendix 2)

3.2 The profile of these risks for Housing Services for this quarter is:

Impact	5	1		1		
	4					
	3					
	2					
	1					
		1	2	3	4	5
Likelihood						

3.3 This report does not at present show target levels for risks set by MT, which is the tolerance level for each risk, however these are shown in the individual risk entries on SPAR where applicable.

4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation

4.1 That the PDG reviews the performance indicators and risks that are outlined in this report and feeds back any areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Contact for more Information: Amy Tregellas, Head of Communities & Governance ext 4246

Circulation of the Report: Management Team and Cabinet Member